

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,177	01/16/2002	Theodore T. Pekny	501110.01	3177
7	590 01/10/2005	EXAMINER		
Paul F. Rusyn, Esq. DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			TRAN, ANDREW Q	
1420 Fifth Ave		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 3400		2824		
Seattle, WA 98101			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



_/\
<i>(1</i>)
124
/ X ~

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/052,177	THEODORE T. PEKNY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Q. Tran	2824				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .					
·=	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-22, drawn to a row driver and memory device, classified in class 365, subclass 230.06.
- II. Claims 23-25, drawn to a method of operating a memory device, classified in class 365, subclass 185.26.
- III. Claims 26-30, drawn to a method of operating a flash memory device, classified in class 365, subclass 185.23.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of operating a memory device, as claimed in the invention of Group II, can be practiced with another materially different product, such as another nonvolatile semiconductor memory device known in the art, other than the row driver and memory device as recited in Group I invention.

Inventions of Group I and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that

Art Unit: 2824

product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the method of operating a flash memory device, as claimed in the invention of Group III, can be practiced with another materially different product, such as another nonvolatile semiconductor memory device known in the art, other than the row driver and memory device as recited in Group I invention.

Inventions of Group II and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions recite distinct methods of operating a memory device. That is, the method of operating a memory device of Group II invention comprises detecting a mode of operation, and floating at least some of the wordlines. On the other hand, the method of operating a flash memory device of Group III invention calls for detecting an active mode and a standby mode of operation, and correspondingly applying a first and second voltage to each of the wordlines or isolating at least some of the wordlines.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Q. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard T. Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Q. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2824

at January 09, 2005